

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of)	
Troy Nehls) MURs 76	48 and 765
,)	

STATEMENT OF REASONS OF COMMISSIONER ELLEN L. WEINTRAUB

These matters concern allegations that the then-Fort Bend (Texas) County Sheriff and then-candidate for Texas's 22nd Congressional District, Troy Nehls, failed to timely disclose that he was a candidate. One of the Complaints further alleges that Nehls used impermissible funds to make payments for federal testing-the-waters activities. The Commission dismissed these allegations, but diverged on the Factual and Legal Analysis that explains the Commission's decision. Attached is the Factual and Legal Analysis that I supported.

December 15, 2021	Ellen L. Weintraul
Date	Ellen L. Weintraub
	Commissioner

¹ 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e) and 11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a).

² 11 C.F.R. §§100.72(a) and 100.131(a).

³ See Certification in MURs 7648 and 7651 (Troy Nehls), dated Nov. 2, 2021.

MUR764800079

THIS PROPOSED DRAFT WAS VOTED ON BUT NOT APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION.

Attachment A

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION PROPOSED FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

RESPONDENT: Troy Nehls

MURs 7648, 7651

I. INTRODUCTION

This matter was generated by Complaints filed with the Federal Election Commission by Diana Miller and Grace Po, alleging that the former Fort Bend (Texas) County Sheriff and former candidate for Texas's 22nd Congressional District, Troy Nehls, failed to timely disclose that he was a candidate, in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). His alleged motivation for not timely disclosing was to avoid the Texas Constitution's "resign-to-run" provision, which mandates that certain state officials resign their current position if they are a candidate for another office, unless they become a candidate within one year and 30 days prior to the end of their current term. For Nehls's candidacy, the operative date of the provision was December 1, 2019. Nehls filed his Statement of Candidacy on December 9, 2019, and remained County Sheriff. One of the Complaints further alleges that Nehls used his state office and state campaign committee to make payments for federal testing-the-waters activities, in connection with a golf tournament fundraiser and appearance at a county parade.

As discussed more fully below, the available information does not provide a reasonable basis to infer that Nehls became a candidate more than fifteen days prior to registering with the Commission. There is no indication that Nehls was testing the waters for an extensive time period or that he raised an excessive amount, and there are no statements from Nehls on the record that he was running for office or referring to himself as a candidate. Nehls reportedly made public statements, in defiance of the "resign-to-run" provision, that he was "not willing" to register as a candidate if it would mean having to resign as sheriff. The available statements, however, are not conclusive as to whether Nehls had decided to become a candidate.

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls)

Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 14

- Therefore, the Commission dismisses the allegations that Nehls violated 52 U.S.C. 1
- 2 § 30102(e)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a) by failing to timely file his Statement of Candidacy. In
- 3 addition, because there is no information showing that the golf tournament fundraiser or
- 4 appearance at the parade were related to a federal election, the Commission dismisses the
- 5 allegation that Nehls violated 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(a), 100.131(a) by using impermissible funds to
- test the waters of a federal election. 6

7

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 8 Nehls was elected Fort Bend County Sheriff in 2012, won re-election in 2016, and his
- 9 term ended on December 31, 2020. On September 26, 2019, Nehls publicly announced that he
- 10 had formed an exploratory committee for a potential campaign for Texas's 22nd Congressional
- District and had raised over \$100,000 in funds.² Nehls registered as a candidate on December 9, 11
- 12 2019, and his authorized committee subsequently filed a report disclosing that he received his first
- contribution on July 30, 2019.³ Between July 30, 2019, and December 8, 2019, Nehls raised a 13
- 14 total of \$132,270 in itemized contributions.⁴

Aaron Barker, Fort Bend County Sheriff Troy Nehls Says He's Exploring Running for Congress Again, CLICK2HOUSTON.COM, Sept. 26, 2019 (quoted by MUR 7648 Compl. at 2 (Oct. 1, 2019)). On July 10, 2019, Nehls announced he would not seek another term as Sheriff. Brooke A. Lewis, Fort Bend County Sheriff Troy Nehls Won't Seek Another Term, CHRON.COM, July 11, 2019 (attached to MUR 7648 Supp. Compl. (Oct. 28, 2019)).

Sheriff Troy E. Nehls, Facebook (Sept. 26, 2019), https://www.facebook.com/SheriffTNehls/posts/2361 939030725514 ("I'm proud to announce the formation of a 22nd District Exploratory Committee. The support we've received with over \$100,000 in total donations has been quite overwhelming. I thank them and will continue to strongly consider running.") (cited by MUR 7651 Compl. at 1); @SheriffTNehls, Twitter (Sept. 26, 2019, 10:03am), https://twitter.com/SheriffTNehls/status/1177222197544464385 (same) (cited by MUR 7651 Compl. at 1).

Troy Nehls, Statement of Candidacy (Dec. 9, 2019); Nehls for Congress, Year-End Rpt. at 7 (Jan. 31, 2020).

Nehls for Congress, Year-End Rpt. at 5-46 (Jan. 31, 2020) (itemized receipts). Because dates of unitemized contributions are not listed, the Commission cannot identify the amount of unitemized contributions received during the testing-the-waters period. Notably, by September 26, 2019, the date of his testing-the-waters announcement, Nehls had raised \$100,750 in itemized contributions.

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 14

1	The Complaints allege that Nehls had decided to run by at least October 2019, when the
2	Complaints were filed, and that he intentionally postponed filing in order to circumvent the Texas
3	Constitution's "resign-to-run" provision. ⁵ The MUR 7648 Complaint alleges that Nehls began
4	testing the waters in December 2017, citing to a news article in which Nehls confirmed that he
5	commissioned polling asking whether residents would consider voting for him if he ran for
6	Congress. ⁶ The Complaint argues that Nehls had therefore engaged in exploratory activities for
7	an "extensive time period," thus indicating that he had become a candidate before he officially
8	registered. ⁷ In addition, the Complaint alleges that Nehls made public statements referring to his
9	candidacy as part of his September 26, 2019 public announcement. In support, the Complaint
10	points to a news article stating that "Nehls said the exploration phase will allow voters to get to
11	know him and why he wants to represent them."8 The MUR 7651 Complaint also argues that
12	Nehls triggered candidate status because he raised contribution amounts in excess of those
13	necessary for exploratory efforts when he had accumulated over \$100,000 in contributions by the
14	time of the September 26, 2019, exploratory announcement. ⁹
15	Separate from whether Nehls failed to timely register as a candidate, the MUR 7648
16	Complaint further alleges that Nehls used his state office and state campaign committee to raise

See MUR 7648 Compl. at 1-2; MUR 7648 Supp. Compl. at 1; see MUR 7651 Compl. at 1 (Oct. 16, 2019)); see also TEX. CONST. ART. XVI, § 65(b) ("If any of the [state or local] officers named herein [including Sheriffs] shall announce their candidacy, or shall in fact become a candidate, in any General, Special or Primary Election, for any office of profit or trust under the laws of this State or the United States other than the office then held, at any time when the unexpired term of the office then held shall exceed one year and 30 days, such announcement or such candidacy shall constitute an automatic resignation of the office then held.").

MUR 7648 Supp. Compl. at 1 (citing Scott Reese Willey, Nehls Eyes Congress, Polls Residents, FORT BEND HERALD, Dec. 1, 2017).

MUR 7651 Compl. at 1.

Id.

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 14

funds for his federal congressional campaign. 10 As support, the Complaint alleges that the timing 1 2 of his announcement on September 26, 2019, that he was forming an exploratory committee, was 3 one day prior to the 2019 Fort Bend County Fair Parade at which Nehls appeared as Sheriff, and 4 that the Fort Bend County Sheriff's Office Facebook page posted photographs of Nehls "using his elected position to present himself before the community in a manner that was not available to 5 other candidates." ¹¹ In addition, the Complaint alleges that a golf tournament fundraiser held on 6 7 November 11, 2019, reportedly paid for by his state political campaign committee, was actually a federal campaign-related event. 12 8 9 In response, Nehls argues that "there is no credible information reflecting any potential violation of the testing the waters regulation."¹³ First, Nehls admits to paying for polling in 2017, 10 11 but contends that he was conducting polling in connection with his exploring a potential race for Congress in 2018, a separate election cycle (he ultimately decided not to run). ¹⁴ Second, Nehls 12 13 asserts that \$100,000 does not reflect an amount that is in excess of what could reasonably be

expected to be used for exploratory activities. ¹⁵ Third, regarding the golf tournament, Nehls

asserts that it was "not related to [his] federal exploratory activities, for which [he] maintains a

separate account." Nehls states that all expenses for the fundraiser were paid for by his state

14

15

16

MUR 7648 Supp. Compl. at 1.

MUR 7648 Compl. at 4 (citing Fort Bend County Sheriff's Office, FBC Fair Parade 2019, Facebook (Sept. 27, 2019), https://www.facebook.com/pg/FortBendCountySheriffsOffice/photos/?tab=album&album_id=10157923 573496122).

MUR 7648 Supp. Compl. at 1; *see also id.*, Attach. 4 (solicitation flyer for golf tournament, asking for donations to "support Sheriff Troy Nehls," paid for by Friends of Sheriff Nehls, Chad Norvell, Treasurer).

¹³ MURs 7648 & 7651 Resp. at 1 (Nov. 19, 2019).

¹⁴ *Id*.

¹⁵ *Id.* at 2.

¹⁶ *Id*.

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 14

- sheriff officeholder and campaign account, and that Texas state law permits state officeholders
- 2 "to collect and spend contributions not only for state campaign purposes, but also for expenses
- 3 related to officeholder duties."¹⁷

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

4

- 5 An individual becomes a federal candidate if he or she receives contributions or makes
- 6 expenditures in excess of \$5,000, or consents to another doing so on his or her behalf. Within
- 7 fifteen days of becoming a candidate, the individual must register with the Commission by filing
- 8 a Statement of Candidacy and designate a principal campaign committee. 19
- 9 The Commission's regulations create a limited exception to the definitions of contribution
- and expenditure and therefore to the \$5,000 candidacy threshold to allow individuals to
- 11 conduct certain activities to evaluate a potential candidacy (i.e., to "test the waters"). 20 An
- 12 individual who is testing the waters need not register with the Commission unless and until the
- individual decides to run for federal office.²¹ The regulations define testing the waters activities
- as those "conducted to determine whether an individual should become a candidate," and include,
- but are not limited to, conducting a poll, telephone calls, and travel.²² "Only funds permissible
- under the Act may be used for" testing the waters activities.²³ When an individual becomes a

¹⁷ *Id.* at 1 (citing Tex. Elec. Code § 251.001(9)).

¹⁸ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(2) (definition of candidate); 11 C.F.R. § 100.3(a) (same).

¹⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a).

²⁰ 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(a), 100.131(a).

Advisory Op. 1981-32 at 3 (Askew) ("AO") ("If and when the individual becomes a candidate the regulation has a retroactive effect in that the financing of all activity coming within the exemption must be reported and otherwise treated as contributions and expenditures for purposes of the Act and regulations.").

²² 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(a), 100.131(a).

²³ *Id.* §§ 100.72(a), 100.131(a).

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 14

candidate, funds received or payments made during the course of testing the waters are to be 1 reported as such on the first disclosure report filed by the candidate's authorized committee.²⁴ 2 3 The Commission has opined that an individual who has crossed the \$5,000 threshold 4 becomes a candidate "when he or she makes a private determination that he or she will run for federal office."²⁵ The Commission's regulation enumerates circumstances that indicate when an 5 individual has decided to become a candidate. They include: (1) advertising to publicize an intent 6 7 to campaign for federal office; (2) fundraising in excess of what could reasonably expected to be 8 used for testing the waters activity; (3) making statements that refer to the individual as a 9 candidate; (4) conducting activities in close proximity to the election or over a protracted period of time; or (5) taking action to qualify for the ballot.²⁶ Where those examples do not apply, the 10 11 Commission has distinguished between activities "directed to an evaluation of the feasibility of 12 one's candidacy," and those "signifying that a private decision to become a candidate has been 13 made" or conducted "as a means of seeking some affirmation or reinforcement of a private decision . . . to be a candidate."27 14 15 The Commission Dismisses the Allegation that Nehls Violated 52 U.S.C. A. 16 § 30102(e)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a) by Failing to Timely File His Statement 17 of Candidacy After consideration of the circumstances outlined in the Commission's testing-the-waters 18

19

regulation that would indicate when an individual has decided to become a candidate, the

²⁴ *Id.* § 101.3.

AO 2015-09 at 5 (Senate Majority PAC and House Majority PAC); *accord* AO 1981-32 at 4 (Askew); AO 1982-03 at 3 (Cranston).

²⁶ 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(b); 100.131(b).

AO 1981-32 at 4.

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 7 of 14

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- available information does not support the allegation that Nehls failed to timely file his Statement
 of Candidacy.
 - 1. <u>Duration of Nehls's Testing-the-Waters Activities</u>

Testing-the-waters activities conducted "over a protracted period of time" may indicate
that an individual has decided to become a candidate.²⁸ The Commission regulations do not
specify a time limit for testing-the-waters activities, but consider it one factor in determining
whether the individual becomes a candidate.²⁹ The Commission has opined that testing-thewaters activities often begin "well in advance of an election."³⁰ In a prior enforcement matter, the
Commission determined that activity such as polling occurring two years before the election did
not itself violate the testing-the-waters provision.³¹

Here, the MUR 7648 Complaint alleges that Nehls began testing the waters in December 2017, almost three years before the election at issue, by commissioning polling of residents on the question of his electability.³² The Response, however, contends that the polling was for the previous (2018) election cycle, and the news article attached to the Complaint tends to support this claim.³³ The first reported contribution or expenditure by Nehls in connection with testing the waters for the current (2020) election cycle occurred on July 31, 2019, and Nehls filed his Statement of Candidacy on December 9, 2019 — a period of approximately four months. In sum,

²⁸ 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(b)(4), 100.131(b)(4).

AO 2015-09 at 6 (Senate Majority PAC and House Majority PAC).

Factual & Legal Analysis at 6, MUR 5722 (Friends of Lauzen).

³¹ *Id*.

³² MUR 7648 Supp. Compl. at 1.

³³ MURs 7648 & 7651 Resp. at 1.

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8 of 14

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 there is no indication from the available information that Nehls conducted testing-the-waters
- 2 activities over a protracted period of time.

2. Raising and Spending Funds

Another indication that an individual has become a candidate is if he or she "raises funds in excess of what could reasonably be expected to be used for exploratory activities or undertakes activities designed to amass campaign funds that would be spent after he or she becomes a candidate."³⁴ On September 26, 2019, Nehls stated that he had raised over \$100,000 in donations for his exploratory committee, and his campaign committee's initial disclosure report shows that Nehls raised a total of \$132,270 in individual, itemized contributions between July 30, 2019, and December 8, 2019 (the period when he reportedly began testing the waters until filing his Statement of Candidacy). ³⁵ In previous matters involving similar amounts of money raised for exploratory activities, the Commission has declined to find that the individual had necessarily become a candidate. ³⁶ Thus, based on the reported amount that Nehls raised, there is no basis to conclude that he became a candidate.

3. Public Statements

Authorized statements that "refer to [an individual] as a candidate for a particular office" indicate that he or she has decided to become a candidate.³⁷ The MUR 7648 Complaint cites to a news article that paraphrases a statement from Nehls — "Nehls said that the exploration phase

³⁴ 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(b)(2), 100.131(b)(2).

Nehls for Congress, Year-End Rpt. at 5-46 (Jan. 31, 2020) (itemized receipts).

³⁶ Certification, MUR 5703 (Rainville) (June 22, 2006) (\$100,000); Certification, MUR 5661 (Butler), (Oct. 27, 2006) (\$100,000); Certification, MUR 2710 (Judge Harvey Sloane) (Feb. 7, 1989) (\$200,000); Statement of Reasons, Comm'rs McDonald, Elliott, Aikens, Josefiak, McGarry & Thomas, at 2-3, MUR 2710 (Mar. 2, 1989).

³⁷ 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(b)(3); 100.131(b)(3).

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 9 of 14

will allow voters to get to know him and why he wants to represent them."38 The Complaint 1 asserts that this is "Campaigning Activity. Not testing the waters." However, the language here 2 3 is not a statement from Nehls but rather a paraphrase by a news article — and its significance is 4 further discounted by quotes from Nehls on the record in which he explains that he was still 5 deciding whether to run. For instance, when Nehls announced his exploratory committee on 6 September 26, 2019, on social media, he stated that he "will continue to strongly consider running."40 Moreover, in statements to the press, responding to accusations that he was 7 8 circumventing the "resign-to-run" provision, Nehls asserted that: "I formed an exploratory 9 committee and I'm testing the waters. I'm seeing over the next 60 days, would I be a viable candidate for Congress."⁴¹ There are no statements from Nehls in the record referring to himself 10 11 as a candidate or otherwise indicating that he had decided to run. 12 Nehls also reportedly stated that because of the "resign-to-run" provision, "[i]f I were to 13 announce that I was running for Congress next week or even next month, I would have to resign 14 my current position as sheriff, and I'm not willing to do that. So if I choose to run, I will make that known the first week of December."⁴² While this might raise questions as to whether Nehls 15 16 would have properly registered before December 1, 2019, the operative date for the "resign-to-

Barker, CLICK2HOUSTON.COM (Sept. 26, 2019) (quoted by MUR 7648 Compl. at 2) (emphasis added).

³⁹ MUR 7648 Compl. at 2.

Supra note 2.

Marla Carter, *Ft. Bend Co. Sheriff Staying Put After Claiming 'Witch-Hunt' For His Ouster*, ABC13.COM, Oct. 8, 2019 (attached to MUR 7648 Supp. Compl.).

MUR 7648 Comp. at 4 (attaching screenshot of untitled and undated article from the *Katy Times* that does not appear to be currently available on the publication's website).

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 10 of 14

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

run" provision, if he had decided by that time to run, in and of themselves these comments are not conclusive evidence that Nehls had made such a decision.

4. Other Activities

Finally, regarding the remaining examples of activities that indicate an individual has decided to become a candidate, as listed in 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72 and 100.131, there is no information that Nehls engaged in any such activities. For instance, there is no allegation that Nehls took action to qualify for the ballot under State law. Moreover, there are no examples on record of any public advertising by Nehls to publicize his intention to run.⁴³

5. <u>Conclusion</u>

In sum, the alleged facts regarding Nehls's duration of testing-the-waters activities, the amount of funds raised during his reported testing-the-waters period, public statements made by Nehls, as well as additional alleged conduct by Nehls, fail to give rise to a reasonable inference that Nehls became candidate more than fifteen days before he registered.

The Commission dismisses the allegation that Nehls violated 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a) by failing to timely file his Statement of Candidacy.

B. The Commission Dismisses the Allegation that Nehls Violated 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(a), 100.131(a) by Using Impermissible Funds to Test the Waters of a Federal Election

As discussed above, funds received or spent to test the waters of an election are not treated as contributions or expenditures under the Act until the individual testing the waters becomes a

The MUR 7648 Complaint attaches a solicitation flyer for a golf tournament fundraiser hosted by Nehls's state campaign committee, which states that attendees will "support Sheriff Troy Nehls" but makes no reference to any federal campaign activity or intention by Nehls to run for federal office. MUR 7648 Supp. Compl., Attach. 4.

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 11 of 14

- 1 candidate. 44 Nonetheless, "[o]nly funds permissible under the Act may be used for [testing-the-
- waters] activities."45 The Commission has stated that when an individual becomes a candidate,
- 3 his or her authorized committee is "required to make refunds or repayments of any excessive or
- 4 prohibited contributions that were received during the 'testing the waters' period."⁴⁶ In addition,
- 5 the Commission has explained that, because a state committee is prohibited from transferring
- 6 funds to a federal committee under 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d), it follows that a state committee is
- 7 prohibited from making expenditures on behalf of a potential federal campaign.⁴⁷ Thus, once an
- 8 individual becomes a candidate, the state committee's earlier testing-the waters expenditures
- 9 become in-kind contributions to the federal committee and constitute a prohibited transfer.
- The MUR 7648 Complaint alleges that Nehls used his state committee and state office in
- 11 connection with his testing-the-waters activities, in violation of the Act. 48 The Complaint

AO 2015-09 at 5 (Senate Majority PAC) ("If an individual becomes a candidate, payments that were made for any testing-the-waters activities must have been made with 'funds permissible under the Act.' 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(a), 100.131(a)").

⁴⁵ 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(a), 100.131(a); *see* AO 1982-03 at 3 (Cranston); AO 1982-19 at 3 (Cranston Presidential Advisory Committee).

AO 1982-19 at 3 (Cranston Presidential Advisory Committee).

Factual & Legal Analysis at 15, MUR 4323 (Huckabee Election Committee) (concluding that a state committee "could not . . . use funds it could not otherwise legally have transferred to make direct expenditures on behalf of a potential [] federal campaign"); sSee Transfers of Funds from State to Federal Campaigns, 57 Fed. Reg. 36,344, 36,345 (Aug. 12, 1992) (explaining the transfer prohibition as intended to prevent "indirect" use of impermissible funds); Factual & Legal Analysis at 4-5, MUR 6267 (Paton for Senate) (finding Paton's federal committee received prohibited transfers of funds when Paton's state senate committee paid for polling and a survey benefiting his federal campaign); Factual & Legal Analysis at 8, MUR 5722 (Friends for Lauzen) (concluding that even if a state committee has sufficient permissible funds to cover a federal testing-the-waters expense, Section 110.3(d) nonetheless "prohibits all transfers from the nonfederal to a federal campaign of the same individual regardless of whether the funds used are permissible under the Act," and therefore prohibits testing-the-waters expenses made by state committees).

MUR 7648 Compl. at 3 (parade appearance); MUR 7648 Supp. Compl. at 1 (golf event). Under what is commonly known as the Act's "soft money" prohibition, a federal candidate, a candidate's agent, and entities established, financed, maintained or controlled by them may not solicit, receive, direct, transfer, or spend funds in connection with a federal election unless those funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act. 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A). The record does not reasonably indicate that Nehls was a candidate during the time of the parade or the golf event, prior to his official campaign announcement in December 2019. *Supra*, Part III.A. Accordingly, the Commission applies the testing-the-waters regulations here.

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 12 of 14

specifically points to a golf tournament fundraiser and Nehls's appearance at a county parade, but

there are insufficient facts to reasonably conclude that either was related to his testing-the-waters

3 activities.

2

5

8

9

11

12

15

16

First, as to the November 11, 2019, golf tournament fundraiser paid for by Nehls's state

campaign committee, 49 the MUR 7648 Complaint alleges that the event must have been for

6 Nehls' federal election because it took place after both his July 11, 2019, announcement that he

7 would not be seeking re-election for state office, and the September 26, 2019, announcement that

he would be testing the waters of a federal campaign. ⁵⁰ The solicitation flyer, distributed in

connection with the event, identifies the event title as "Sheriff Troy Nehls Veterans Day Golf

Tournament," and generally states that contributions would be used "to support Sheriff Troy

Nehls."51 The Response does not clarify the purpose of the event, but appears to suggest that it

was "related to officeholder duties," which the Response asserts is a permissible use of campaign

funds under state law.⁵²

Here, the available information raises questions as to whether the golf tournament was

related to Nehls's testing-the-waters activities, e.g., Nehls was not running for state office, the

purported connection to his "officeholder duties" is unclear, and the solicitation flyer does not

17 contain a description about the purpose. However, Texas law appears to confirm Respondents'

MURs 7648 & 7651 Resp. at 1. There is a disclaimer which indicates that the event was paid for by Friends of Sheriff Nehls, and a review of the committee's disclosure reports filed with the Texas Ethics Commission show that it disclosed costs associated with the event. MUR 7648 Supp. Resp., Attach. (solicitation flyer); Troy Nehls, Semi-Annual, Candidate/Officeholder Campaign Finance Report at 20, 23-26, Schedule. F1 at 1, 4-7 (Jan. 10, 2020).

⁵⁰ MUR 7648 Supp. Compl. at 1.

⁵¹ *Id.*, Attach. 4.

MURs 7648 & 7651 Resp. at 1 (citing Tex. Elec. Code § 251.001(9)) (defining "officeholder expenditure" as an expenditure made by any person to defray expenses that are incurred by an officeholder in performing a duty or engaging in an activity in connection with the office, and are not reimbursable with public money).

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 13 of 14

- 1 assertion that state officeholders are permitted to solicit contributions and make expenditures in
- 2 connection with officeholder-related duties.⁵³ Even if the golf tournament was not itself an
- 3 "officeholder-related" event, Nehls was permitted to raise funds to spend on a future officeholder-
- 4 related event or spend on a political campaign if he were to change his mind and run for state
- 5 office.⁵⁴ Accordingly, absent more information about the event, there is not a reasonable basis to
- 6 conclude that it was for testing the waters of a federal election.
- 7 Second, as to the 2019 Fort Bend County Fair Parade, the information supplied by the
- 8 MUR 7648 Complaint shows that Nehls appeared in the parade as Sheriff, that pictures of the
- 9 parade were posted on the Fort Bend County Sheriff's Office Facebook page, and that Nehls
- announced his intention of exploring a federal campaign one day before the parade. 55 The
- 11 Complaint argues that Nehls used his position as Sheriff to "present himself before the
- community in a manner that was not available to other candidates."⁵⁶ However, an individual's
- use of an outside position as a platform to maintain his public image and advance certain issues
- does not necessarily implicate the testing-the-waters provision.⁵⁷ There is no indication from the
- record before the Commission that Nehls appeared as a candidate, engaged in any testing-the-
- waters activities, made any solicitations, or received any contributions at the parade. Although
- 17 the state of Texas falls within the meaning of "person" under the Act and thus the individual

Tex. Elec. Code § 253.035 (prohibiting the conversion of committee funds to "personal use," which is defined as "a use that primarily furthers individual or family purposes not connected with the performance of duties or activities as a candidate for or holder of a public office").

⁵⁴ *Id.* § 253.036.

⁵⁵ MUR 7648 Compl. at 3.

⁵⁶ Id

MUR 5260 (Talent for Senate) (the fact that a state leadership PAC served as a candidate's "platform" to "keep up his political profile and support Republican candidates and causes," does not in and of itself establish that the PAC assisted with the candidate's testing-the-waters or federal election activities).

MURs 7648 & 7651 (Troy Nehls) Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis Page 14 of 14

- 1 contribution limit would seemingly apply to the Fort Bend County Sheriff's Office,⁵⁸ there is no
- 2 factual basis to conclude that any such in-kind contribution was made to Nehls.
- Therefore, the Commission dismisses the allegation that Nehls violated 11 C.F.R.
- 4 §§ 100.72(a), 100.131(a) by using impermissible funds to test the waters of a federal election.⁵⁹

The Commission has long held that states are "persons" under the Act and are subject to the individual contribution limit which, during the 2020 election cycle, was \$2,800 per election. Factual & Legal Analysis at 4, MUR 6858 (Malone PAC, *et al.*); Factual & Legal Analysis at 4-6, MUR 5127 (Democratic Party of Illinois, *et al.*); 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(1)(i); *Price Index Adjustments for Contribution and Expenditure Limitations and Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold*, 84 Fed. Reg. 2,504, 2,506 (Feb. 7, 2019). Under the Texas State Constitution, counties are "recognized as legal subdivisions of the State." TEX. CONST. ART. XI, § 1.

Factual & Legal Analysis at 9, MUR 6788 (Jim Tracy, *et al.*) (concluding that a state committee's payments made before the individual declared his federal candidacy — for activities related to his duties as a state senator and re-election to that office — were not contributions or expenditures for the purposes of the Act).